arXiv:math/0611894v1 [math.DG] 28 Nov 2006

ON THE HIGHER ORDER CONFORMAL COVARIANT OPERATORS ON THE SPHERE

FENGBO HANG

Abstract. We will show that in the conformal class of the standard metric g_{S^n} on S^n, the scaling invariant functional $(\mu_g(S^n))^{\frac{2m-n}{n}} \int_{S^n} Q_{2m,g} d\mu_g$ maximizes at g_{S^n} when n is odd and $m = \frac{n+1}{2}$ or $\frac{n+3}{2}$. For n odd and $m \geq \frac{n+5}{2}$, g_{S^n} is not stable and the functional has no local maximizer. Here $Q_{2m,g}$ is the $2m$th order Q-curvature.

1. Introduction

Let A_g be a differential operator on a n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M,g). Recall that we say A is conformally covariant of bidegree (a,b) if for any $u,w \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$A_{e^{2w}g} u = e^{-bw} A_g (e^{aw} u).$$

The most well known conformal covariant operators are the Laplacian operator on a surface, which is of bidegree $(0,2)$ and the conformal Laplacian operator on a manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$,

$$L_g = -\Delta_g + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R,$$

which is of bidegree $\left(\frac{n-2}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2}\right)$. Here R denotes the scalar curvature. They play important role in the study of Gaussian curvature and scalar curvature. Besides these two examples, on a four dimensional manifold, the fourth order Paneitz operator discovered in [P], which is of bidegree $(0,4)$, has demonstrated its importance in conformal geometry recently (cf. [CGY]). According to [FG1, GJMS, Br], there exists a sequence of conformal covariant operators which contain the three examples above (see also [FG2, GZ]). Indeed, if m is a positive integer such that either n is odd and $n \geq 3$, or n is even and $2m \leq n$, there exists a conformally covariant operator P_{2m} of bidegree $\left(\frac{n-2m}{2}, \frac{n+2m}{2}\right)$. Moreover, the leading term of P_{2m} is equal to $(-\Delta_g)^m$ and on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with standard metric, $P_{2m} = (-\Delta)^m$. It is an interesting fact pointed out by [G, GH] that the condition $2m \leq n$ is necessary when $n \geq 4$ is even. For recent developments related to these operators, one should refer to [A, C] and the references therein.

In general, it seems very hard to have an explicit formula for the operators P_{2m}. However, on S^n with standard metric, P_{2m} has a nice expression as (see part (f) of

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53A30, 58J05.

Key words and phrases. Conformal convariant operators, Q-curvature, sharp Sobolev inequalities, approximation in Sobolev spaces.

theorem 2.8 in [Br])

$$P_{2m}=\prod_{i=0}^{m-1}\left(-\Delta_{S^n}-\left(i+\frac{n}{2}\right)\left(i-\frac{n}{2}+1\right)\right). \tag{1.1}$$

We note that one does not require $2m\le n$ when n is even in this special case (see Section 2.1 for more information).

Assume $n\ge 3$, let g_{S^n} be the standard metric on S^n, then we know (see chapter V of [SY])

$$\begin{aligned}Y\left(S^n\right)&=\inf\left\{\frac{\int_{S^n}R_g d\mu_g}{\mu_g\left(S^n\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}:g=\rho^2 g_{S^n},\rho\in C^\infty\left(S^n,\mathbb{R}\right),\rho>0\right\}\\&=n\left(n-1\right)\left(\mu_{S^n}\left(S^n\right)\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}.\end{aligned}$$

That is, the functional minimizes at the standard metric. Here R_g is the scalar curvature of g and μ_g is the measure associated with g. Moreover g is a critical metric if and only if $g=c\cdot\phi^* g_{S^n}$ for some positive number c and Mobius transformation ϕ, and all of them are minimizers. In terms of the conformal Laplacian operator, we have

$$Y\left(S^n\right)=\inf\left\{\frac{4\left(n-1\right)}{n-2}\frac{\int_{S^n}L_{S^n}u\cdot ud\mu_{S^n}}{\left(\int_{S^n}u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}d\mu_{S^n}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}:u\in C^\infty\left(S^n,\mathbb{R}\right),u>0\right\}$$

and the minimizing value is reached at $u=1$, moreover, u is a critical point if and only if $u=c\cdot J_\phi^{\frac{n-2}{2n}}$ for some positive number c and Mobius transformation ϕ. Here J_ϕ denotes the Jacobian of ϕ. Using the stereographic projection from $S^n\backslash\{N\}$ (N is the north pole of S^n) to $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the simple fact that for any $u\in C^\infty\left(S^n\right)$, $u\ge 0$, we may find a sequence $u_i\in C_c^\infty\left(S^n\backslash\{N\}\right)$, $u_i\ge 0$ such that $u_i\to u$ in $H^1\left(S^n\right)$, we see

$$\begin{aligned}Y\left(S^n\right)&=\inf\left\{\frac{4\left(n-1\right)}{n-2}\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|\nabla\varphi\right|^2 d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^n}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^n}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}:\varphi\in C_c^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right),\varphi\ge 0,\varphi\not\equiv 0\right\}\\&=\inf\left\{\frac{4\left(n-1\right)}{n-2}\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|\nabla\varphi\right|^2 d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^n}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^n}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}:\varphi\in C_c^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right),\varphi\not\equiv 0\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the statement that the standard metric is a minimizer is equivalent to the sharp Sobolev inequalities studied earlier in [Au, T].

When $n=2$, the parallel statement is that the standard metric g_{S^2} has the maximal determinant among all smooth metrics $g=e^{2u}g_{S^2}$ with $\mu_g\left(S^2\right)=4\pi$ (see theorem 1 of [OPS]). More precisely, we have the Polyakov formula

$$\log\frac{\det'\Delta_{e^{2u}g_{S^2}}}{\det'\Delta_{S^2}}=-\frac{1}{12\pi}\int_{S^2}\left|\nabla u\right|^2 d\mu_{S^2}-\frac{1}{6\pi}\int_{S^2}ud\mu_{S^2}$$

for $u \in C^{\infty}\left(S^2\right)$ with $\int_{S^2} e^{2u} d\mu_{S^2} = 4\pi$, and the Onofri inequality

$$\log\left(\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{S^2} e^{2u} d\mu_{S^2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{S^2} |\nabla u|^2 d\mu_{S^2} + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{S^2} u d\mu_{S^2}$$

for $u \in C^{\infty}\left(S^2\right)$.

When $2m < n$, the Q-curvature $Q_{2m,g}$ is given by

$$Q_{2m,g} = \frac{2}{n-2m} P_{2m,g} 1,$$

(cf. theorem 1.1 of [Br]). We have

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_{2m}\left(S^n\right) \\ &= \inf\left\{\frac{\int_{S^n} Q_{2m,g} d\mu_g}{\left(\mu_g\left(S^n\right)\right)^{\frac{n-2m}{n}}} : g = \rho^2 g_{S^n}, \rho \in C^{\infty}\left(S^n, \mathbb{R}\right), \rho > 0\right\} \\ &= \inf\left\{\frac{2}{n-2m} \frac{\int_{S^n} P_{2m} u \cdot u d\mu_{S^n}}{\left(\int_{S^n} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2m}} d\mu_{S^n}\right)^{\frac{n-2m}{n}}} : u \in C^{\infty}\left(S^n, \mathbb{R}\right), u > 0\right\} \\ &= \inf\left\{\frac{2}{n-2m} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|D^m \varphi\right|^2 dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi^{\frac{2n}{n-2m}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2m}{n}}} : \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}\right), \varphi \geq 0, \varphi \not\equiv 0\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from [Lie, Lin, Lio, S, WX] that the standard metric g_{S^n} is a minimizer (i.e. $u = 1$ is a minimizer). The case $2m = n$ was treated in [B, CY, Lie]. When $2m > n$, for $Q_{2m,g} = \frac{2}{n-2m} P_{2m,g} 1$ (assume n is odd, see theorem 1.1 in [Br]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_{2m}\left(S^n\right) \\ &= \sup\left\{\left(\mu_g\left(S^n\right)\right)^{\frac{2m-n}{n}} \int_{S^n} Q_{2m,g} d\mu_g : g = \rho^2 g_{S^n}, \rho \in C^{\infty}\left(S^n, \mathbb{R}\right), \rho > 0\right\} \\ &= \frac{2}{n-2m} \inf\left\{\left|u^{-1}\right|^2_{L^{\frac{2n}{2m-n}}\left(S^n\right)} \int_{S^n} P_{2m} u \cdot u d\mu_{S^n} : u \in C^{\infty}\left(S^n, \mathbb{R}\right), u > 0\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We are motivated to ask the following question: Is the standard metric g_{S^n} a maximizer? Or equivalently: Is

$$\begin{aligned} (1.2) \qquad & B_{2m}\left(S^n\right) \\ &= \inf\left\{\left|u^{-1}\right|^2_{L^{\frac{2n}{2m-n}}\left(S^n\right)} \int_{S^n} P_{2m} u \cdot u d\mu_{S^n} : u \in C^{\infty}\left(S^n, \mathbb{R}\right), u > 0\right\} \end{aligned}$$

achieved at $u = 1$? Due to the existence of negative power, this variational problem is analytically different from the case $2m < n$. The answer to the above question would shed some light on the understanding of the now still mysterious Q-curvature with $2m > n$. We remark that the operator P_2 on S^1 appears naturally in the study of self-similar solutions for the anisotropic affine curve shortening problem (cf. [ACW]). In particular, the variational problem (1.2) indeed has $u = 1$ as a minimizer in this case (see proposition 1.3 in [ACW]). Another interesting case of P_4 on S^3 was solved affirmatively in [YZ] (see section 7 of [HY] for a different proof). The main aim of this note is to resolve all the remaining cases. To state the result, we introduce some notations.

For $u, v \in C^{\infty}(S^n, \mathbb{R})$, we denote

$$E_{2m}(u, v) = \int_{S^n} P_{2m} u \cdot v \, d\mu_{S^n}. \tag{1.3}$$

By integration by parts and the standard approximation argument, we know E_{2m} has a unique bounded symmetric bilinear extension to $H^m(S^n) \times H^m(S^n)$. Here $H^m(S^n) = W^{m,2}(S^n)$. Denote

$$E_{2m}(u) = E_{2m}(u, u) \quad \text{for } u \in H^m(S^n). \tag{1.4}$$

Assume $2m > n$, then $H^m(S^n) \subset C(S^n)$, hence we may define

$$V_m = \{u \in H^m(S^n) : u > 0 \text{ everywhere}\}. \tag{1.5}$$

Denote

$$I_{2m}(u) = \left|u^{-1}\right|^2_{L^{\frac{2n}{2m-n}}(S^n)} E_{2m}(u), \quad B_{2m}(S^n) = \inf_{u \in V_m} I_{2m}(u). \tag{1.6}$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let P_{2m} be the $2m$th order conformal covariant operator on S^n (see (1.1)), E_{2m}, V_m, I_{2m} and $B_{2m}(S^n)$ be defined as in (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6).*

(i) *If n is odd and $m = \frac{n+1}{2}$, then for any $u \in H^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(S^n)$, $u > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\left|u^{-1}\right|^2_{L^{2n}(S^n)} E_{n+1}(u) &\geq E_{n+1}(1)\left(\mu_{S^n}(S^n)\right)^{1/n} \\ &= -\frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n+1} \cdot n!}\left(\frac{2\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)!}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, all the minimizers of I_{n+1} on $V_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ are of the form $cJ_{\phi}^{-\frac{1}{2n}}$ for some $c > 0$ and Mobius transformation ϕ. Here J_{ϕ} is the Jacobian of ϕ.

(ii) *If n is odd and $m = \frac{n+3}{2}$, then for any $u \in H^{\frac{n+3}{2}}(S^n)$, $u > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\left|u^{-1}\right|^2_{L^{\frac{2n}{3}}(S^n)} E_{n+3}(u) &\geq E_{n+3}(1)\left(\mu_{S^n}(S^n)\right)^{3/n} \\ &= \frac{3 \cdot (2n+1)!}{2^{2n+3} \cdot n!}\left(\frac{2\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)!}\right)^{\frac{n+3}{n}}.\end{aligned}$$

Again, all the minimizers of I_{n+3} in $V_{\frac{n+3}{2}}$ are of the form $cJ_{\phi}^{-\frac{3}{2n}}$ for some $c > 0$ and Mobius transformation ϕ.

(iii) *If n is odd and $m \geq \frac{n+5}{2}$, then I_{2m} has no local minimizer in V_{2m}. Indeed, all the critical points of I_{2m} in V_m are unstable.*

(iv) *If n is even and $m > \frac{n}{2}$, then $P_{2m} \geq 0$, moreover*

$$\ker P_{2m} = \left\{ p|_{S^n} : p \text{ is a polynomial on } \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \text{ with } \deg p \leq m - \frac{n}{2} \right\}.$$

In particular, I_{2m} minimizes at $u = 1$ and all the minimizers of I_{2m} in V_m are of the form $p|_{S^n}$, where p is a polynomial of degree less than or equal to $m - \frac{n}{2}$ and $p|_{S^n} > 0$.

To get a feeling of the inequalities proved here, note that for P_2 on S^1, what we have got is

$$\int_{S^1} u^{-2} d\theta \int_{S^1} \left(u_\theta^2 - \frac{1}{4} u^2 \right) d\theta \geq -\pi^2 \tag{1.7}$$

for $u \in C^\infty \left(S^1 \right)$, $u > 0$. This inequality was proved earlier in proposition 1.3 of [ACW].

For P_4 on S^1, what we have got is

$$\left(\int_{S^1} u^{-2/3} d\theta \right)^3 \int_{S^1} \left(u_{\theta\theta}^2 - \frac{5}{2} u_\theta^2 + \frac{9}{16} u^2 \right) d\theta \geq 9\pi^4 \tag{1.8}$$

for all $u \in C^\infty \left(S^1 \right)$, $u > 0$. It is interesting to note that if we take $u = \sin \theta$, then the left hand side of (1.8) is a finite negative number. Hence the condition $u > 0$ is crucial for the validity of (1.8).

The article will be written as follows: In Section 2, we will give an elementary argument for the expression of P_{2m} on S^n (cf. (1.1)) and its invariant property under the Mobius transformation, then we will discuss when a Sobolev function can be approximated by functions vanishing near a given point. After these preparations, we shall prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 3. In Section 4 we give a somewhat different argument for Theorem 1.1 based on the barycenter analysis. In the last section we make some remarks concerning the proof of (1.8) given in the recent preprint [NZ].

Acknowledgment: The research of the author is supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS-0209504. We would like to thank Paul Yang for valuable discussions.

2. Some preparations

First let us fix the notation for stereographic projection from the punctured sphere to the Euclidean space which we will use later. For any $\xi \in S^n$, let

$$\xi^\perp = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : z \cdot \xi = 0 \right\}.$$

For every $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$,

$$z = z' + t\xi = \left(z', t\xi \right), \quad z' \in \xi^\perp, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The stereographic projection is

$$\pi_\xi : S^n \backslash \{\xi\} \to \xi^\perp : z = \left(z', t\xi \right) \mapsto \frac{z'}{1-t},$$

its inverse is

$$\pi_\xi^{-1} : \xi^\perp \to S^n \backslash \{\xi\} : x \mapsto \left(\frac{2x}{|x|^2 + 1}, \frac{|x|^2 - 1}{|x|^2 + 1} \xi \right).$$

We have

$$\left(\pi_\xi^{-1} \right)^* g_{S^n} = \frac{4}{\left(1 + |x|^2 \right)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \otimes dx_i,$$

here $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ is the coordinate on $\xi^\perp$ with respect to any fixed orthonormal frame of $\xi^\perp$.

For $\lambda > 0$, we have a Mobius transformation $\sigma_{\xi,\lambda}(\zeta) = \pi_\xi^{-1}(\lambda \pi_\xi(\zeta))$, it satisfies

$$\sigma_{\xi,\lambda}^* g_{S^n} = \frac{\lambda^2 \left(1 + |\pi_\xi|^2\right)^2}{\left(1 + \lambda^2 |\pi_\xi|^2\right)^2} g_{S^n}.$$

2.1. **An elementary argument to derive the expression of P_{2m} and its properties.** In this subsection, we will derive the expression of P_{2m} on S^n by an elementary induction argument. Along the way, we shall also derive the transformation law of P_{2m} which we will use later. In principle, it makes the proof of inequalities in Theorem 1.1 self-contained. We should point out that the expression of P_{2m} on S^n was explicitly written down in the part (f) of theorem 2.8 in [Br].

Lemma 2.1. *Let u be a smooth function on a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and m be a nonnegative integer, then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta\left[\left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}\right)^{m+1}\Delta^m u\right] + m(m+1)\left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}\right)^{m-1}\Delta^m u \\ &= \left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}\right)^m \Delta^{m+1}\left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}u\right).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By induction on k, we know for any natural number k,

$$\Delta^k\left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}u\right) = k(2k+n-2)\Delta^{k-1}u + 2k\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \Delta^{k-1}\partial_i u + \frac{1+|x|^2}{2}\Delta^k u.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta\left[\left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}\right)^{m+1}\Delta^m u\right] + m(m+1)\left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}\right)^{m-1}\Delta^m u \\ &= (m+1)(2m+n)\left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}\right)^m \Delta^m u + 2(m+1)\left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}\right)^m \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \Delta^m \partial_i u \\ &+ \left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}\right)^{m+1}\Delta^{m+1}u \\ &= \left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}\right)^m \Delta^{m+1}\left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}u\right).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *Let m be a natural number and the $2m$th order operator A_{2m} be given by*

$$A_{2m} = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1}\left[-\Delta_{S^n} + \frac{n(n-2)}{4} - i(i+1)\right].$$

Denote N as the north pole of S^n and π_N as the stereographic projection from $S^n \backslash \{N\}$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$, then for any smooth function u defined on a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we *have*

$$A_{2m}\left(u\circ\pi_N\right)=\left[\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+2m}{2}}(-\Delta)^m\left(\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2m}{2}}u\right)\right]\circ\pi_N.$$

In particular, this tells us on S^n,

$$P_{2m}=A_{2m}=\prod_{i=0}^{m-1}\left(-\Delta_{S^n}-\left(i+\frac{n}{2}\right)\left(i-\frac{n}{2}+1\right)\right).$$

Proof. We may identify $S^n\backslash\{N\}$ as $\mathbb{R}^n$ through π_N, then

$$g_{S^n}=\frac{4}{\left(1+|x|^2\right)^2}\sum_{i=1}^n dx_i\otimes dx_i.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{S^n}u&=\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-n}\sum_{i=1}^n\partial_i\left(\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{n-2}\partial_i u\right)\\&=\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-n}\left[\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{n-2}\Delta u-(n-2)\sum_{i=1}^n\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{n-1}x_i\partial_i u\right]\\&=\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}}\left[\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\Delta u-(n-2)\sum_{i=1}^n\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}x_i\partial_i u\right]\\&=\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}}\left[\Delta\left(\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}u\right)-\Delta\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\cdot u\right]\\&=\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}}\Delta\left(\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}u\right)+\frac{n\left(n-2\right)}{4}u.\end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$-\Delta_{S^n}u+\frac{n\left(n-2\right)}{4}u=\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}}(-\Delta)\left(\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}u\right)$$

and verifies the lemma for $m=1$.

Assume the conclusion is true for m, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_{2(m+1)}u\\
&=\left(-\Delta_{S^n}+\frac{n(n-2)}{4}-m(m+1)\right)A_{2m}u\\
&=\left(-\Delta_{S^n}+\frac{n(n-2)}{4}\right)\left[\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+2m}{2}}(-\Delta)^m\left(\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2m}{2}}u\right)\right]\\
&-m(m+1)\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+2m}{2}}(-\Delta)^m\left(\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2m}{2}}u\right)\\
&=\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}}(-\Delta)\left[\left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}\right)^{m+1}(-\Delta)^m\left(\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2m}{2}}u\right)\right]\\
&-m(m+1)\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+2m}{2}}(-\Delta)^m\left(\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2m}{2}}u\right)\\
&=\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}}\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-m}(-\Delta)^{m+1}\left(\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2(m+1)}{2}}u\right)\\
&=\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+2(m+1)}{2}}(-\Delta)^{m+1}\left(\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2(m+1)}{2}}u\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We have used the Lemma 2.1 in the fourth step. □

The following basic fact about the Kelvin transformation is an easy corollary of the above calculations.

Corollary 2.1. *Assume u is a smooth function. For any Mobius transformation ϕ on $\mathbb{R}^n\cup\{\infty\}$, denote*

$$u_\phi = J_\phi^{\frac{n-2m}{2n}}\cdot u\circ\phi,$$

here J_ϕ is the Jacobian of ϕ, then

$$(-\Delta)^m u_\phi = J_\phi^{\frac{n+2m}{2n}}\left(\left(-\Delta\right)^m u\right)\circ\phi.$$

Proof. Since the Mobius transformation group is generated by orthogonal transformation, translation, dilation and inversion, we only need to verify the corollary for these special ones. The only nontrivial case is the inversion. Let $\phi(x)=\frac{x}{|x|^2}$ be the inversion map. We may identify $S^n\backslash\{N\}$ with $\mathbb{R}^n$ through the stereographic projection π_N, then $\phi^* g_{S^n}=g_{S^n}$. It follows that for any function v smooth away from 0, we have

$$(P_{2m}v)\circ\phi = P_{2m}(v\circ\phi).$$

Let

$$v(x)=\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-\frac{n-2m}{2}}u(x),$$

then

$$(v\circ\phi)(x)=\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-\frac{n-2m}{2}}u_\phi(x).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$((P_{2m}v)\circ\phi)(x)=\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right)^{n+2m}\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+2m}{2}}(-\Delta)^m u\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right),$$

and

$$P_{2m}(v\circ\phi)(x)=\left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+2m}{2}}(-\Delta)^m u_\phi(x).$$

The corollary follows from these two equalities. □

It follows from Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 2.1 that

Corollary 2.2. *Let u be a smooth function on S^n, ϕ be a Mobius transformation on S^n,*

$$u_\phi=J_\phi^{\frac{n-2m}{2n}}\cdot u\circ\phi,$$

then

$$P_{2m}u_\phi=J_\phi^{\frac{n+2m}{2n}}\cdot(P_{2m}u)\circ\phi,$$

and

$$E_{2m}(u_\phi)=\int_{S^n}P_{2m}u_\phi\cdot u_\phi d\mu_{S^n}=\int_{S^n}P_{2m}u\cdot ud\mu_{S^n}=E_{2m}(u).$$

By Lemma 2.2, we may deduce that when n is odd, the Green's function of P_{2m} at $\xi\in S^n$ is equal to

$$G_\xi=\frac{2^{m-n-1}}{(m-1)!\prod_{i=0}^{m}(n-2i)\cdot\omega_n}\frac{1}{\left(1+|\pi_\xi|^2\right)^{\frac{2m-n}{2}}},\tag{2.1}$$

here ω_n is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

2.2. Approximation of a Sobolev function by functions vanishing near a point.

To fully take advantage of the conformal covariant property of the operator P_{2m}, we need to open the punctured sphere as the Euclidean space. Hence it is useful to understand when a Sobolev function may be approximated by a sequence of Sobolev functions which vanish near a point.

Let u be a function defined on an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, for any $k>0$, we denote

$$D^k u=\left(\partial_{i_1i_2\cdots i_k}u\right)_{1\le i_1,\cdots,i_k\le n}.$$

We also use the convention $D^0u=u$.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume $1<p<\infty$, $u\in W^{m,p}(B_1^n)$. Let k be the smallest non-negative integer with $k\ge m-\frac{n}{p}$. If $D^ju(0)=0$ for $0\le j<k$ (note that the condition makes sense by the Sobolev embedding theorem, also the condition is void when $k=0$), then we may find a sequence of smooth functions $u_i\in C^\infty\left(\overline{B}_1\right)$ such that $D^ju_i(0)=0$ for $0\le j<k$ and $u_i\to u$ in $W^{m,p}(B_1)$.*

Proof. If $k=0$, the conclusion is trivial. Assume $k\geq 1$, then it follows from Sobolev embedding theorem that $W^{m,p}(B_1)\subset C^{k-1}\left(\overline{B}_1\right)$. First we may find a sequence $v_i\in C^{\infty}\left(\overline{B}_1\right)$ such that $v_i\to u$ in $W^{m,p}(B_1)$, then the sequence

$$u_i(x)=v_i(x)-\sum_{|\alpha|<k}\frac{\partial^{\alpha}v_i(0)}{\alpha!}x^{\alpha}$$

satisfies the requirement in the lemma. □

We have the following approximation result, which is a generalization of lemma 2.2 in [HY].

Proposition 2.1. *Assume* $1<p<\infty$, $u\in W^{m,p}(B_1^n)$. *Let* k *be the smallest nonnegative integer such that* $k\geq m-\frac{n}{p}$. *If* $D^j u(0)=0$ *for* $0\leq j<k$, *then we may find a sequence* $u_i\in W^{m,p}(B_1^n)$ *such that* $u_i=0$ *near the origin,* $u_i=u$ *on* $B_1\backslash B_{1/2}$ *and* $u_i\to u$ *in* $W^{m,p}(B_1^n)$.

Proof. Fix a $\eta\in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $0\leq\eta\leq 1$, $\eta(x)=1$ for $x\in B_1$ and $\eta(x)=0$ for $x\in\mathbb{R}^n\backslash B_2$. For $\lambda>0$, we let $\eta_{\lambda}(x)=\eta\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)$.

First we claim that if $v\in C^{\infty}\left(\overline{B_1}\right)$ such that $D^j v(0)=0$ for $0\leq j<k$, then we may find a sequence $v_i\in C^{\infty}\left(\overline{B}_1\right)$ such that $v_i\to v$ in $W^{m,p}(B_1)$ and v_i is zero near the origin. Indeed, let $w_{\varepsilon}=\eta_{\varepsilon}\cdot v$. In the case when $(m-k)p<n$, we have

$$|D^m w_{\varepsilon}(x)|\leq c(m,n)\sum_{j=0}^{m}\varepsilon^{j-m}\left|D^j v(x)\right|\leq c(m,n,v)\,\varepsilon^{k-m},$$

and this implies

$$|D^m w_{\varepsilon}|_{L^p(B_1)}\leq c(m,n,v)\,\varepsilon^{k-m+\frac{n}{p}}\to 0$$

as $\varepsilon\to 0^+$. Hence $v_{\varepsilon}=v-w_{\varepsilon}$ is the needed approximation function. When $(m-k)p=n$, we only know $v_{\varepsilon}=v-w_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded in $W^{m,p}(B_1)$ and converges to v in $L^p(B_1)$. Since $1<p<\infty$, we may find a subsequence $v_{\varepsilon_i}\rightharpoonup v$ in $W^{m,p}(B_1)$. The claim follows from the standard result in functional analysis. Indeed, let

$$\mathcal{A}=\overline{\operatorname{co}\left\{v_{\varepsilon}:0<\varepsilon<\frac{1}{16}\right\}},$$

here "co" means the convex hull and the closure is taken in $W^{m,p}(B_1)$. By theorem 2 of chapter 12 in [L], we know $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly closed, in particular, $v\in\mathcal{A}$. This verifies the claim in the case $(m-k)p=n$. We remark that one may have a constructive proof for this case too.

For any $\varepsilon>0$, by Lemma 2.3 we may find a $v\in C^{\infty}\left(\overline{B_1}\right)$ such that $|u-v|_{W^{m,p}(B_1)}\leq\varepsilon$ and $D^j v(0)=0$ for $0\leq j<k$. Then by the above claim we may find a $\widetilde{v}\in C^{\infty}\left(\overline{B_1}\right)$ such that $\widetilde{v}=0$ near the origin and $|\widetilde{v}-v|_{W^{m,p}(B_1)}\leq\varepsilon$. Let $\widetilde{u}=\left(1-\eta_{1/8}\right)u+\eta_{1/8}\widetilde{v}$, then $\widetilde{u}=0$ near the origin, $\widetilde{u}=u$ on $B_1\backslash B_{1/2}$ and $|\widetilde{u}-u|_{W^{m,p}(B_1)}\leq c(m,p,n)\,\varepsilon$. The proposition follows. □

The same argument will give us the following

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $u\in W^{m,1}(B_1^n)$ *such that* $D^j u(0)=0$ *for* $0\leq j\leq m-n$, *then we may find a sequence* $u_i\in W^{m,1}(B_1^n)$ *such that* $u_i=0$ *near the origin,* $u_i=u$ *on* $B_1\backslash B_{1/2}$ *and* $u_i\to u$ *in* $W^{m,1}(B_1^n)$.

3. The proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1. n is odd and $m=\frac{n+1}{2}$.

In this subsection, we will prove part (i) of Theorem 1.1. A crucial ingredient is the following observation, which should be compared with lemma 7.1 in [HY].

Lemma 3.1. *Assume n is odd and $u\in H^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(S^n)$ such that $u(N)=0$, here N is the north pole of S^n, then we know*

$$D^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}}\cdot u\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right)\right)\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and

$$E_{n+1}(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|D^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}}\cdot u\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right)\right)\right|^2dx.$$

Here $D^kf(x)=\left(\partial_{i_1\cdots i_k}f(x)\right)_{1\le i_1,\cdots,i_k\le n}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 we may find a sequence $u_i\in C^\infty(S^n)$ such that $u_i=0$ near N and $u_i\to u$ in $H^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(S^n)$. By Lemma 2.2 we see

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|D^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}}\cdot(u_i-u_j)\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right)\right)\right|^2dx\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\sqrt{\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}}\cdot(u_i-u_j)\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right)\cdot(-\Delta)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}}\cdot(u_i-u_j)\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right)\right)dx\\
&=E_{n+1}(u_i-u_j)\to 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $i,j\to\infty$. Hence we may find a vector valued function $F\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$D^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}}\cdot u_i\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right)\right)\to F\text{ in }L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

This clearly implies

$$D^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}}\cdot u\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right)\right)=F\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

On the other hand, since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|D^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}}\cdot u_i\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right)\right)\right|^2dx=E_{n+1}(u_i),$$

letting $i\to\infty$, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|D^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}}\cdot u\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right)\right)\right|^2dx=E_{n+1}(u).$$

□

Corollary 3.1. *Assume n is odd, $u \in H^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(S^n)$ and $\xi \in S^n$ such that $u(\xi) = 0$, then $E_{n+1}(u) \geq 0$. Moreover, $E_{n+1}(u) = 0$ if and only if $u = \mathrm{const} \cdot \left(1 + |\pi_\xi|^2\right)^{-1/2}$, here π_ξ is the stereographic projection defined at the beginning of Section 2.*

Proof. Without losing of generality, we may assume $\xi = N$. If $E(u) = 0$, then it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $\sqrt{\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}} \cdot u\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right)$ must be a polynomial. On the other hand, since $H^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(S^n) \subset C^{\frac{1}{2}}(S^n)$ and $u(N) = 0$, we see

$$\left|\sqrt{\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}} \cdot u\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right)\right| \leq c(u)\sqrt{|x|}$$

for $|x|$ large. This shows $\sqrt{\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}} \cdot u\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right) \equiv \mathrm{const}$. The corollary follows. □

Now we are prepared to prove the part (i) of Theorem 1.1. The arguments should be compared to the proof in section 7 of [HY] for theorem 1.2 there.

Proof of part (i) of Theorem 1.1. The key point is to show the minimizing value of I_{n+1} over $V_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, $B_{n+1}(S^n)$, is reached by some functions. Note that $B_{n+1}(S^n) \leq I_{n+1}(1) < 0$. Choose a minimizing sequence $u_i \in V_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ for I_{n+1}. By scaling and rotation, we may assume

$$\max_{S^n} u_i = 1, \quad \min_{S^n} u_i = u_i(N).$$

Here S is the south pole of S^n. For i large enough, we know $E_{n+1}(u_i) < 0$. By the interpolation inequality, we see

$$E_{n+1}(u_i) \geq c\,|u_i|^2_{H^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(S^n)} - c\,|u_i|^2_{L^2(S^n)},$$

this gives us $|u_i|_{H^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(S^n)} \leq c$. After passing to a subsequence, we may find a $u \in H^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(S^n)$ such that $u_i \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(S^n)$. This implies $u_i \to u$ uniformly on S^n and hence $u \geq 0$,

$$\max_{S^n} u = 1, \quad \min_{S^n} u = u(N). \tag{3.1}$$

If $u > 0$, then $u_i^{-1} \to u^{-1}$ uniformly on S^n. Hence $\left|u_i^{-1}\right|_{L^{2n}(S^n)} \to \left|u^{-1}\right|_{L^{2n}(S^n)}$. By the lower semicontinuity we see

$$E_{n+1}(u) \leq \liminf_{i\to\infty} E_{n+1}(u_i),$$

hence we see

$$B_{n+1}(S^n) \leq \left|u^{-1}\right|^2_{L^{2n}(S^n)} E_{n+1}(u) \leq \liminf_{i\to\infty} \left|u^{-1}\right|^2_{L^{2n}(S^n)} E_{n+1}(u_i) = B_{n+1}(S^n),$$

and u is a minimizer.

If u vanishes at some point, say $\xi \in S^n$. It follows from lower semicontinuity that $E_{n+1}(u) \leq 0$. By Corollary 3.1 we see $u = c \cdot \left(1 + |\pi_\xi|^2\right)^{-1/2}$. Using (3.1), we see $c = 1, \xi = N$. In particular, $u_i(S) \to 1$ as $i \to \infty$. Denote

$$\lambda_i = \frac{u_i(S)}{u_i(N)} \to \infty.$$

Using the notations in Corollary 2.2 and the beginning of Section 2, we let

$$v_i = (u_i)_{\sigma_{N,\lambda_i}} = \left(\frac{1+\lambda_i^2 \left|\pi_N\right|^2}{\lambda_i \left(1+\left|\pi_N\right|^2\right)} \right)^{1/2} \cdot u_i \circ \sigma_{N,\lambda_i}.$$

Then v_i is still a minimizing sequence for I_{n+1} with $v_i(N) = v_i(S)$. Let $\nu_i = \max_{S^n} v_i$, $w_i = \frac{v_i}{\nu_i}$, then w_i is a minimizing sequence and after passing to a subsequence, we may find a $w \in H^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(S^n)$ such that $w_i \rightharpoonup w$ in $H^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(S^n)$. We claim $w > 0$. Indeed, if this is not the case, then for some $\xi \in S^n$, $w(\xi) = 0$. Argue as before we see $w = \left(1+|\pi_\xi|^2\right)^{-1/2}$. Since $w(S) = w(N)$, we see $\xi \neq N, S$. In particular,

$$w_i(S) = \frac{u_i(S)}{\nu_i \sqrt{\lambda_i}} \to w(S) > 0.$$

On $S^n \backslash \{S, N\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_i &\geq \frac{u_i(N)}{\nu_i} \left(\frac{1+\lambda_i^2 \left|\pi_N\right|^2}{\lambda_i \left(1+\left|\pi_N\right|^2\right)} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{u_i(S)}{\nu_i \sqrt{\lambda_i}} \left(\frac{\lambda_i^{-2} + \left|\pi_N\right|^2}{1+\left|\pi_N\right|^2} \right)^{1/2} \to \frac{w(S)\left|\pi_N\right|}{\left(1+\left|\pi_N\right|^2\right)^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

this implies $w > 0$ on S^n and contradicts with our assumption. Hence $w > 0$ and it is a minimizer.

Assume u is a minimizer for I_{n+1} in $V_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, then for some positive constant c, we have

$$u \in C^\infty(S^n), \ u > 0 \text{ and } P_{n+1} u = -c u^{-2n-1} \text{ on } S^n.$$

Using the Green's function of P_{2m} written down at the end of Section 2.1, we see for some $c > 0$,

$$u(\xi) = c \int_{S^n} \frac{u(\zeta)^{-2n-1}}{\left(1+\left|\pi_\xi(\zeta)\right|^2\right)^{1/2}} d\mu_{S^n}(\zeta) \quad \text{for any } \xi \in S^n.$$

Let

$$v(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}} \cdot u\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right),$$

then

$$\left(\pi_N^{-1}\right)^* \left(u^{-4} g_{S^n}\right) = v^{-4} g_{\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

Moreover, it follows from the integral equation of u that for some $c > 0$,

$$v(x) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-y| \, v(y)^{-2n-1} dy.$$

It follows from theorem 1.5 of [Li] (proved by the method of moving spheres, a variation of the method of moving planes [GNN], see also [CLO] for the method of moving planes for integral equations) that

$$v(x) = c\left(\frac{1+\lambda^2 |x-x_0|^2}{2\lambda}\right)^{1/2}$$

for some $c > 0, \lambda > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It follows that for some Mobius transformation ϕ on S^n, we have $u = cJ_\phi^{-\frac{1}{2n}}$. Using Corollary 2.2 we see that $I_{n+1}\left(cJ_\phi^{-\frac{1}{2n}}\right) = I_{n+1}(1)$. Hence 1 is a minimizer of I_{n+1} and all the minimizers are of the form $cJ_\phi^{-\frac{1}{2n}}$ for some Mobius transformation ϕ. □

3.2. n **is odd and** $m = \frac{n+3}{2}$**.** The argument for the part (ii) of Theorem 1.1 goes along the similar line as for part (i). We will only explain when the proof is different. First we have

Lemma 3.2. *Assume n is odd and $u \in H^{\frac{n+3}{2}}(S^n)$ such that $u(N) = 0$ and $du(N) = 0$, here N is the north pole of S^n, then we know*

$$D^{\frac{n+3}{2}}\left(\left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}\right)^{3/2} \cdot u\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right)\right) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and

$$E_{n+3}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| D^{\frac{n+3}{2}}\left(\left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}\right)^{3/2} \cdot u\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right)\right)\right|^2 dx.$$

Similar to Lemma 3.1, this lemma follows from an approximation argument using Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.2.

Corollary 3.2. *Assume n is odd, $u \in H^{\frac{n+3}{2}}(S^n)$, $u \geq 0$ and $\xi \in S^n$ such that $u(\xi) = 0$, then $E_{n+3}(u) \geq 0$. Moreover, $E_{n+3}(u) = 0$ if and only if* $u = \text{const} \cdot \left(1 + |\pi_\xi|^2\right)^{-3/2}$.

Proof. Without losing of generality, we may assume $\xi = N$. Since $u \in H^{\frac{n+3}{2}}(S^n) \subset C^{1,1/2}(S^n)$, we see $du(N) = 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $E_{n+3}(u) \geq 0$. Moreover, if $E_{n+3}(u) = 0$, then

$$D^{\frac{n+3}{2}}\left(\left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}\right)^{3/2} \cdot u\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right)\right) = 0.$$

This implies $\left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}\right)^{3/2} \cdot u\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right)$ must be a polynomial. Since

$$\left|\left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}\right)^{3/2} \cdot u\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right)\right| \leq c(u)|x|^{3/2}$$

when $|x|$ is large, we see

$$\left(\frac{1+|x|^2}{2}\right)^{3/2} \cdot u\left(\pi_N^{-1}(x)\right) = c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i.$$

It follows from the fact $u \geq 0$ that $c_0 \geq 0$ and $c_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence

$$u = c_0 \left(\frac{1 + |x|^2}{2} \right)^{-3/2}.$$

□

Sketch of the proof of part (ii) of Theorem 1.1. The key point is to show the minimizing value $B_{n+3}(S^n)$ is reached at some function, then one may use the theorem 1.5 of [Li] (see also closely related results in [CLO]) and Corollary 2.2 to conclude that $u = 1$ is a minimizer.

Let u_i be a minimizing sequence for I_{n+3} in $V_{\frac{n+3}{2}}$, by scaling and rotation we may assume

$$\max_{S^n} u_i = 1 \text{ and } \min_{S^n} u_i = u_i(N).$$

Then since

$$\left| u_i^{-1} \right|^2_{L^{\frac{2n}{3}}(S^n)} E_{n+3}(u_i) \leq c,$$

we see $E_{n+3}(u_i) \leq c$. By coercivity we see $|u_i|_{H^{\frac{n+3}{2}}(S^n)} \leq c$. After passing to a subsequence, we may find a $u \in H^{\frac{n+3}{2}}(S^n)$ such that $u_i \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^{\frac{n+3}{2}}(S^n)$. Then $u_i \to u$ uniformly. We have $u \geq 0$ and

$$\max_{S^n} u = 1, \quad \min_{S^n} u = u(N). \tag{3.2}$$

If $u > 0$, then it is a minimizer as before.

If $u(\xi) = 0$ for some $\xi \in S^n$, then since $u \geq 0$ and $u \in C^{1,1/2}(S^n)$, we see $du(\xi) = 0$ and

$$|u(\zeta)| \leq c(u) \, d_{S^n}(\zeta, \xi)^{3/2} \text{ for } \zeta \in S^n.$$

Here $d_{S^n}(\zeta, \xi)$ is the geodesic distance on S^n with standard metric. This implies $\left| u^{-1} \right|_{L^{\frac{2n}{3}}(S^n)} = \infty$. It follows from Fatou's lemma that

$$\infty = \left| u^{-1} \right|_{L^{\frac{2n}{3}}(S^n)} \leq \liminf_{i \to \infty} \left| u_i^{-1} \right|_{L^{\frac{2n}{3}}(S^n)},$$

hence $\left| u_i^{-1} \right|_{L^{\frac{2n}{3}}(S^n)} \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$. Using lower semicontinuity we see $E(u) \leq 0$. It follows from Corollary 3.2 that

$$u = c \left(1 + |\pi_\xi|^2 \right)^{-3/2}.$$

In view of (3.2), we see $c = 1$ and $\xi = N$, hence $u = \left(1 + |\pi_N|^2 \right)^{-3/2}$. Now we may proceed to renormalize the minimizing sequence as in the proof of part (i). □

3.3. n is odd and $m \geq \frac{n+5}{2}$.

The arguments presented in the previous two subsections do not work well for the case when n is odd and $m \geq \frac{n+5}{2}$. The main problem is that we do not get enough "vanishing condition" when the weak limit of minimizing sequence touches zero. This looks like a technical point. But in fact, it is essential, we will show no minimizer exists at all when m becomes this larger.

Proof of part (iii) of Theorem 1.1. First we observe that it follows from theorem 1.5 of [Li] (see also closely related results in [CLO]) that any critical point of I_{2m} over V_m must be of the form $cJ_\phi^{\frac{n-2m}{2n}}$ for some $c > 0$ and Mobius transformation ϕ. In view of Corollary 2.2, to show all of them are unstable, we only need to show

$u=1$ is unstable. Calculation shows the second variation of I_{2m} at $u=1$, namely H, is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_{S^n}\left(S^n\right)\right)^{-\frac{2m-n}{n}}H\left(\varphi\right)\\&=E_{2m}\left(\varphi\right)+\frac{2m+n}{2m-n}P_{2m}1\cdot\int_{S^n}\varphi^2d\mu_{S^n}-\frac{4m}{2m-n}\frac{P_{2m}1}{\mu_{S^n}\left(S^n\right)}\left(\int_{S^n}\varphi d\mu_{S^n}\right)^2\end{aligned}$$

for any $\varphi\in H^m\left(S^n\right)$. The corresponding self-adjoint operator is given by

$$\mathcal{A}\varphi=P_{2m}\varphi+\frac{2m+n}{2m-n}P_{2m}1\cdot\varphi-\frac{4m}{2m-n}\frac{P_{2m}1}{\mu_{S^n}\left(S^n\right)}\int_{S^n}\varphi d\mu_{S^n}.$$

When $m-\frac{n+5}{2}$ is even, let h_2 be any harmonic homogeneous polynomial of degree 2, then

$$\mathcal{A}h_2=2m\prod_{i=0}^{m}\left(\frac{n}{2}+i\right)\prod_{i=1}^{m-2}\left(\frac{n}{2}-i\right)\cdot h_2.$$

It gives us a negative eigenvalue.

When $m-\frac{n+5}{2}$ is odd, let h_3 be any harmonic homogeneous polynomial of degree 3, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{A}h_3\\&=\left[\left(m+\frac{n}{2}+1\right)\left(m+\frac{n}{2}+2\right)-\left(m-\frac{n}{2}-2\right)\left(m-\frac{n}{2}-1\right)\right]\\&\cdot\prod_{i=0}^{m}\left(\frac{n}{2}+i\right)\prod_{i=1}^{m-3}\left(\frac{n}{2}-i\right)\cdot h_3.\end{aligned}$$

Again, it gives us a negative eigenvalue. □

3.4. n **is even.** The case when the dimension is even is very different form the odd dimension. In fact, in this case, the variational problem (1.2) becomes trivial.

Proof of part (iv) of Theorem 1.1 . This follows from the formula of P_{2m} (cf. Lemma 2.2) and the fact that the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{S^n}$ are given by $\alpha\left(\alpha+n-1\right)$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, with corresponding eigenfunctions given by harmonic homogeneous polynomials of degree α. □

4. Another approach to Theorem 1.1

In deriving an upper bound for the eigenvalue of an arbitrary metric on S^2, Hersch used the conformal invariance property of the Dirichlet energy to choose suitable test functions through a trick which became popular later and is known as the barycenter analysis (see p142 of [SY]). Such kind of trick was used in [ACW] for the proof of (1.7) and more recently in [NZ] for (1.8). In this section, we will combine this trick with Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 to give another approach for Theorem 1.1.

For any $a\in B_1^{n+1}$, we have a smooth diffeomorphism from $\overline{B}_1^{n+1}$ to itself given by

$$\sigma_a\left(z\right)=\frac{\left(1-\left|a\right|^2\right)z-\left(\left|z\right|^2-2a\cdot z+1\right)a}{\left|a\right|^2\left|z\right|^2-2a\cdot z+1}\text{ for }z\in\overline{B}_1^{n+1}.$$

Note that $\sigma_a(a) = 0$, $\sigma_a^{-1} = \sigma_{-a}$ and for $a \neq 0$,

$$\sigma_a|_{S^n} = \sigma_{\frac{a}{|a|}, \frac{1-|a|}{1+|a|}}.$$

Let $2m > n$, $u \in C(S^n, \mathbb{R})$ be a strictly positive function. For $a \in B_1^{n+1}$, let $u_{\sigma_a} = J_{\sigma_a}^{\frac{n-2m}{2n}} \cdot u \circ \sigma_a$ and $C(a) = \int_{S^n} u_{\sigma_a}(\zeta)\zeta d\mu_{S^n}(\zeta)$, then

$$C(a) = (2\lambda)^{\frac{n-2m}{2}} \int_{S^n} u(\sigma_a(\zeta)) \left[1 - \frac{\zeta \cdot a}{|a|} + \lambda^2 \left(1 + \frac{\zeta \cdot a}{|a|}\right)\right]^{\frac{2m-n}{2}} \zeta d\mu_{S^n}(\zeta),$$

here $\lambda = \frac{1-|a|}{1+|a|}$. In particular

$$(2\lambda)^{\frac{2m-n}{2}} C(a) \to \int_{S^n} u(-\xi)(1 - \zeta \cdot \xi)^{\frac{2m-n}{2}} \zeta d\mu_{S^n}(\zeta) = -c(m,n)\, u(-\xi)\, \xi$$

as $a \to \xi \in S^n$. Since C is continuous on $\overline{B_1^{n+1}}$, it follows from winding number argument that for some $a \in B_1^{n+1}$, $C(a) = 0$.

Now we may sketch a somewhat different argument for part (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.1. We restrict ourselves to part (i) since the argument for part (ii) is very similar. Let u_i be a minimizing sequence for I_{n+1} over $V_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, we may find $a_i \in B_1^{n+1}$ such that $\int_{S^n} (u_i)_{\sigma_{a_i}}(\zeta)\zeta d\mu_{S^n}(\zeta) = 0$. Since $I_{n+1}(u_i) = I_{n+1}\left((u_i)_{\sigma_{a_i}}\right)$, we may assume $\int_{S^n} u_i(\zeta)\zeta d\mu_{S^n}(\zeta) = 0$. By scaling and rotation we may also assume $\max_{S^n} u_i = 1$ and $\min_{S^n} u_i = u_i(N)$. The same argument as in Section 3 shows for some $u \in H^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(S^n)$, we have $u_i \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(S^n)$. We only need to show $u > 0$ on S^n. If u touches zero somewhere, then as in Section 3, we see $u = \left(1 + |\pi_N|^2\right)^{-1/2}$. On the other hand, it follows from $\int_{S^n} u_i(\zeta)\zeta d\mu_{S^n}(\zeta) = 0$ that $\int_{S^n} u(\zeta)\zeta d\mu_{S^n}(\zeta) = 0$. But $\int_{S^n} \left(1 + |\pi_N(\zeta)|^2\right)^{-1/2} \zeta \neq 0$, this gives us a contradiction. Hence u never touches zero and it must be a minimizer. The remaining argument is the same as in Section 3.

5. Further remarks

Recently in [NZ], an argument for (1.8) is given based on the observation that P_4 is positive definite on the L^2 orthogonal complement of the restrictions of linear functions on S^1. Such kind of argument works in higher dimension for part (ii) of Theorem 1.1 too. Indeed, we note that if n is even, then

$$P_{n+3} = \left(-\Delta_{S^n} - \frac{n - \frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)\left(-\Delta_{S^n} - \frac{3\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{2}\right) \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \left(-\Delta_{S^n} + \left(i + \frac{n}{2}\right)\left(\frac{n-2}{2} - i\right)\right).$$

Using the fact that the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{S^n}$ are given by $\alpha(\alpha + n - 1)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, with corresponding eigenfunctions given by harmonic homogeneous polynomials of degree α, we see P_{n+3} is positive definite on the L^2 orthogonal complement of linear functions. Assume $u_i \in V_{\frac{n+3}{2}}$ is a minimizing sequence of I_{n+3}. Without losing of generality, we may assume $\max_{S^n} u_i = 1$ and u_i is perpendicular to linear functions. By the arguments in Section 3, we may find $u \in H^{\frac{n+3}{2}}(S^n)$ such that $u_i \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^{\frac{n+3}{2}}(S^n)$. If u touches 0 somewhere, then as in Section 3 we know $E_{n+3}(u) \leq 0$ and $\max_{S^n} u = 1$. This contradicts with the fact that P_{n+3} is strictly positive definite on the orthogonal complement of linear functions. Hence u does not touch zero and it is a minimizer.

REFERENCES

[ACW] J. Ai, K. Chou and J. Wei. Self-similar solutions for the anisotropic affine curve shortening problem. *Calculus of Variations and PDE.* **13** (2001), 311–337.

[A] S. Alexakis. Local and global aspects of conformal geometry. Ph.D Thesis. Princeton University. 2005.

[Au] T. Aubin. Problemes isoperimetriques et espaces de Sobolev (French). *Jorunal of Differential Geometry.* **11**, no. 4, (1976), 573–598.

[B] W. Beckner. Sharp Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and the Moser-Trudinger inequality. *Annals of Mathematics.* **138** (1993), 213–242.

[Br] T. P. Branson. Sharp inequalities, the functional determinant, and the complementary series. *Transactions of the AMS.* **347**, no. 10, (1995), 3671–3742.

[C] S. Y. A. Chang. Conformal invariants and partial differential equations. *Bull Amer Math Soc (NS).* **42** (2005), no. 3, 365–393.

[CGY] S. Y. A. Chang, M. J. Gursky and P. C. Yang. An equation of Monge-Ampere type in conformal geometry, and four-manifolds of positive Ricci curvature. *Annals of Mathematics (2).* **155** (2002), 709–787.

[CY] S. Y. A. Chang and P. C. Yang. On uniqueness of solutions of nth order differential equations in conformal geometry. *Mathematical Research Letters.* **4**, no. 1, (1997), 91–102.

[CLO] W. X. Chen, C. M. Li and B. Ou. Classification of solutions for an integral equation. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics.* **59**, no. 3, (2006), 330–343.

[FG1] C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham. Conformal invariants. *Asterisque.* (1985), 95–116.

[FG2] C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham. Q-curvature and Poincare metrics. *Mathematical Research Letters.* **9**, no. 2 and 3, (2002), 139–152.

[GNN] B. Gidas, W. M. Ni and L. Nirenberg. Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle. *Communications in Mathematical Physics.* **68** (1979), 209–243.

[GH] A. R. Gover and K. Hirachi. Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian-a complete nonexistence theorem. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17**, no. 2, (2004), 389–405.

[G] C. R. Graham. Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian, II: nonexistence. *J. London Math. Soc. (2).* **46** (1992), 566–576.

[GJMS] C. R. Graham, R. Jenne, L. Mason and G. Sparling. Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian, I: existence. *Journal of London Mathematical Society.* **46** (1992), 557–565.

[GZ] C. R. Graham and M. Zworski. Scattering matrix in conformal geometry. *Invention Mathematics.* **152**, no. 1, (2003), 89–118.

[HY] F. B. Hang and P. Yang. The Sobolev inequality for Paneitz operator on three manifolds. *Calculus of Variations anf PDE.* **21** (2004), 57–83.

[L] P. Lax. Functional Analysis. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 2002.

[Li] Y. Y. Li. Remark on some conformally invariant integral equations: the method of moving spheres. *Journal of European Mathematical Society.* **6** (2004), 153–180.

[Lie] E. H. Lieb. Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities. *Annals of Mathematics. Second Series.* **118**, no. 2, (1983), 349–374.

[Lin] C. S. Lin. A classification of solutions of a conformally invariant fourth order equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici.* **73**, no. 2, (1998), 206–231.

[Lio] P. L. Lions. The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case. I. *Revista Matemaica Iberoamericana.* **1**, no. 1 (1985), 145–201.

[NZ] Y. L. Ni and M. J. Zhu. Extremal metrics for conformal curvatures on S^1. Preprint.

[OPS] B. Osgood, R. Phillips and P. Sarnak. Extremals of determinants of Laplacians. *Journal of Functional Analysis.* **80**, no. 1, (1988), 148–211.

[P] S. Paneitz. A quartic conformally covariant differential operator for arbitrary pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. Preprint (1983).

[SY] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau. Lectures on differential geometry. Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology, I. International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.

[S] C. A. Swanson. The best Sobolev constant. *Applicable Analysis.* **47** (1992), 227–239.

[T] G. Talenti. Best constant in Sobolev inequality. *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata. Serie Quarta.* **110**, (1976), 353–372.

[WX] J. C. Wei and X. W. Xu. Classification of solutions of higher order conformally invariant equations. *Mathematische Annalen.* **313**, no. 2, (1999), 207–228.

[YZ] P. Yang and M. J. Zhu. On the Paneitz energy on standard three sphere. *ESAIM: Control, Optimization and Calculus of Variations.* **10** (2004), 211–223.

Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824
E-mail address: `fhang@math.msu.edu`